

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,271	03/05/2007	Dietrich Klingler	016906-0505	2484
22428 7590 06/27/2008 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			EXAM	IINER
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			MILLER, SAMANTHA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

#### 

after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 April 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 April 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>				

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Paper No(s)Mail Date 4/26/2006	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Pate of Application 6) Other:	
C. Datastand Francisco College		

Art Unit: 3749

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by CASEY (5.570.250).

## CASEY teaches:

- A discharge nozzle (66) and at least one air flow guide element (68), wherein at least one actuator (26) is provided and can be electrically actuated.
- The actuator (26) is arranged on the outside of the discharge nozzle (66) (FIG.1a).
- The actuator (26) is in the form of a module which is integrated in the discharge nozzle (66).
- An electronic circuit (56) is provided and is electrically connected to all of the actuators (26).
- The electronic circuit (56) is arranged on a flexible circuit carrier (col.7 II.38-63).
- Contact is made with all of the actuators (26) directly by means of the flexible circuit carrier (col.7 II.38-63).

Art Unit: 3749

The actuator is in the form of a module which is integrated in the discharge nozzle (Fig.1a).

- An electronic circuit is provided and is electrically connected to all of the actuators (col.7 II.38-63).
- An electronic circuit is provided and is electrically connected to all of the actuators (col.7 ll.38-63).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CASEY in view of CSAPO (5,910,946).

CASEY teaches the invention above and further teaches:

 Contact is made with all of the actuators (26) directly by means of the flexible circuit carrier (col.7 II.38-63).

However CASEY does not teach the MID component.

CSAPO teaches:

The electronic circuit is designed as an MID component, with a casing of the air discharging device being designed as a circuit carrier (col.4 II.14-30).

Art Unit: 3749

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the air discharger of CASEY in view of the MID component of CSAPO in order to make a wireless connection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samantha A. Miller whose telephone number is 571-272 9967. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve McAllister can be reached on 571-272-6785. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3749

Samantha Miller Examiner Art Unit 3749

/Steven B. McAllister/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3749 6/23/2008